

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

United States Courts  
Southern District of Texas  
ENTERED

APR 22 2005

In Re ENRON CORPORATION SECURITIES, DERIVATIVE & "ERISA" LITIGATION,	§ § §	MDL 1446	Michael N. Milby, Clerk
<hr/>			
MARK NEWBY, et al.,	§ § §		
Plaintiffs	§ §		
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-01-3624	
	§	AND CONSOLIDATED CASES	
ENRON CORPORATION, ET AL.,	§ § §		
Defendants	§		
<hr/>			
RAVENSWOOD I, L.L.C., RAVENSWOOD II, L.L.C., and WHITEWOOD, L.L.C.,	§ § § §		
Plaintiffs,	§ §		
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-05-1164	
	§	(COORDINATED CASE)	
CANADIAN IMPERIAL BANK OF COMMERCE, ET AL.,	§ § §		
Defendants.	§		

**ORDER OF COORDINATION**

*Ravenswood I, L.L.C., et al. v. Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, et al.*, H-05-1164, alleges under Texas state law that, in order obtain millions of dollars in fees and interests, Defendant banks conspired with and aided Enron in a Ponzi scheme involving fraudulent transactions and misrepresentation of Enron's financial situation to shift the risk by luring unsuspecting investors like Plaintiffs.

Filed in H-05-1164, pending before the Honorable Sim Lake, are Defendants' notice of consolidation, and in the


alternative, motion to consolidate (#4) and motion for partially agreed enlargement of time to move, answer or respond to Plaintiffs' complaint (#5).

Because the claims in H-05-1164 arise from the same nucleus of operative fact as those in *Newby* and the suit asserts claims against many of the same parties and therefore discovery in both actions will be substantially overlapping, pursuant to the Court's order of December 12, 2001 in *Newby v. Enron Corporation*, H-01-3624, the Court

ORDERS that *Ravenswood I, L.L.C., et al. v. Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce, et al.*, H-05-1164, is hereby designated as a "coordinated case" with *Newby v. Enron Corporation*, H-01-3624, for pretrial matters. Thus the motion to consolidate (#4) is MOOT. Because to maintain order in the is large litigation the Court has previously ruled that pleading in the coordinated and consolidated cases is stayed, the Court further

Orders that Defendants' motion for enlargement of time is GRANTED (#5) and pleading is stayed until otherwise ordered by the Court.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 21<sup>st</sup> day of April, 2005.

  
MELINDA HARMON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE